

Klahr, D. (2001) Directions to “Eureka!” *Science*, 292, 2009

Dear Editor:

Your recent editorial “An Algorithm for Discovery” *Science*, 4/6/01, v. 292, p. 13. presents a tongue in cheek flow diagram, as well as a (presumably) more serious set of informal heuristics (a.k.a. “principles”), for the process of scientific discovery. I find it surprising and somewhat disappointing that *Science* would treat the psychology of discovery in such an informal and amateurish fashion.

Einstein did say, as your editorial quotes him: “The whole of science is nothing more than a refinement of every day thinking” But he went on to say much more, and, in effect, suggested a program of further investigation in the area that we now call cognitive science. The full quotation reads as follows:

“The whole of science is nothing more than a refinement of every day thinking. It is for this reason that the critical thinking of the physicist cannot possibly be restricted to the examination of concepts of his own specific field. He cannot proceed without considering critically a much more difficult problem, the problem of analyzing the nature of everyday thinking.
(Einstein, 1936, p.59)

In the more than 60 years since Einstein made this remarkable statement, the cognitive sciences have made substantial advances our understanding of the “difficult ... problem of analyzing the nature of everyday thinking”.

At the same time that we have gained scientific knowledge about human thinking processes, we have also learned about how those processes are used in scientific discovery. Although this might be surprising and disconcerting to those who view the process of scientific reasoning as ineffable and unknowable, the connection between everyday thinking and scientific thinking is -- as Einstein correctly suggested -- more in the detail than in anything fundamental.

“The scientific way of forming concepts differs from that which we use in our daily life, not basically, but merely in the more precise definition of concepts and

References:

- Einstein, (1936). *Physics & Reality*. Reprinted in A. Einstein (1950) *Out of my later years*. New York: Philosophical Library
- Einstein, A. (1950) *Out of my later years*. New York: Philosophical Library
- Feist & Gorman (1998) The psychology of science: Review and integration of a Nascent Discipline. *Review of General Psychology*, 2,1, 3-47.
- Klahr, D. (2000) *Exploring science: The cognition and development of discovery processes*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Klahr, D. & Simon, H.A. (1999) Studies of scientific discovery: Complementary approaches and convergent findings. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125. 524-543.
- Zimmerman, C. (2000) The Development of Scientific Reasoning Skills. *Developmental Review*, 20, 99-149.

A more complete bibliography is included below :

- Darden, L. (1997) Recent work in computational scientific discovery. In M. G. Shafto & P. Langley (Eds.) *Proceedings of Nineteenth Annual Meetings of Cognitive Science Society*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Dunbar, K. (1994). How scientists really reason: Scientific reasoning in real-world laboratories. In R.J. Sternberg & J. Davidson (Eds.), *The nature of insight*, 365-395. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dunbar, K. (1997) How scientists think: On-line creativity and conceptual change in science. In T. Ward, S. Smith, & S. Vaid (Eds.) *Conceptual structures and processes: Emergence, Discovery and Change*. APA Press. Washington DC. pp. 461-492.
- Einstein, (1936). *Physics & Reality*. Reprinted in A. Einstein (1950) *Out of my later years*. New York: Philosophical Library
- Galison, P. (1987). *How experiments end*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gentner, D. & Jeziorski (1989) Historical shifts in the use of analogy in science. In B. Gholson, W. Shadish, R. Beimeyer, & A. Houts (Eds.) *The psychology of science: Contributions to metascience*. 296-325. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Giere, R. N. (1988). *Explaining Science: A Cognitive Approach*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Gooding, D. (1990). *Experiment and The making of meaning*. Dorrecht: Nijhoff/Kluwer.
- Kuhn, D. (1989). Children and adults as intuitive scientists.

Okada, T., & Simon, H.A. (1997). Collaborative discovery in a scientific domain. Cognitive Science, 21, 109-146.